

REMARKS

Claims 1-19 are pending in the above-identified application, and were rejected. With this Amendment, claims 1 and 11 were amended. Accordingly, claims 1-19 remain at issue.

I. 35 U.S.C. § 112 Indefiniteness Rejection of Claims

Claims 1 to 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which did not describe the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 1 to 19 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.


Applicant respectfully submits that Applicant's amendment to claims 1-19 obviates these rejections. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of these rejections.

II. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that all claims are clearly allowable over the cited prior art, and respectfully requests early and favorable notification to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 18, 2004

By: 
Marina N. Saito
Registration No. 42,121
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP
P.O. Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080
(312) 876-8000